

UNIVERSAL CONVENTIONS AND PROCEDURES Academic Year 2023/24 Indicative Assessment Descriptors

1 Implementation

The Conventions are reviewed annually and apply for the academic year 2023/24.

2 Undergraduate Courses [except Masters within Integrated Masters]

The following requirement applies to all Undergraduate Courses

Where subject specific assessment criteria do not cover technical proficiency in English the following guidance will be applied:

The University expects students to submit assessments which are of a high-quality including in relation to clarity of expression. Students are advised to proof read their assessments before submission in order to identify and eliminate errors. Where the academic quality and precision remains, students will not be unnecessarily penalised for insignificant errors in syntax, spelling, grammar or presentation.

Feedback given to students will include appropriate signposting to support with academic writing where necessary. This guidance does not affect any alternative learning needs assessed through learning support plans.

Level F (3) Foundation Level

Grade	Mark	Grade Descriptor
A++;	92	Outstanding performance and achievement overall appropriate to foundation level:
first class honours	88	Knowledge & understanding: outstanding in relevant foundational concepts and principles; demonstrates comprehension appropriate to these foundation principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: an exceptionally high ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including a clearly demonstrated ability to apply them to limitations of theoretical concepts; Argument, analysis & reflection: a clearly-demonstrated ability to use interpretive cognitive skills (evaluation of information and ideas, using highly appropriate skills, methods and procedures) in a diverse range of contexts. Outstanding ability to apply knowledge and analyse information in order to make reasoned judgements; Communication: very high level of competence with well-structured argument throughout; Presentation: outstanding, work professionally presented, and communicated with meticulous attention to detail. Referencing: work, references accurate, reliable and precise, thoughtful background reading where appropriate.
A+;	84	Excellent performance and achievement at a level appropriate to foundation level with outstanding features in some elements:
first class honours		Knowledge & understanding: excellent knowledge and comprehension of relevant theories, fundamental concepts and principles with some features that are outstanding; Demonstrating understanding and evidence of reasoned judgements appropriate to these foundation principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: an excellent ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including the ability to apply them to limitations of theoretical concepts. There are some outstanding elements emerging. Argument, analysis & reflection: a clearly-demonstrated ability to use interpretive cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a diverse range of contexts. Excellent ability to apply knowledge and analyse information in order to make reasoned judgements; Communication: high level of competence with well-structured and coherent argument throughout; Presentation: highly competent, Work excellently presented and communicated with attention to detail. Referencing: work accurate, reliable, precise and appropriately referenced, indicative of thoughtful background reading where appropriate.
A; first class	76	Excellent performance and achievement at a level appropriate to foundation level:
honours		Knowledge & understanding: excellent knowledge and comprehension of relevant theories, fundamental concepts and principles; Demonstrating understanding and evidence of reasoned judgements appropriate to these foundation principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: an excellent ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including the ability to apply them to limitations of theoretical concepts. Argument, analysis & reflection: a clearly-demonstrated ability to use interpretive cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a diverse range of contexts. Excellent ability to apply knowledge and analyse information in order to make reasoned judgements;

		Communication: high level of competence with well-structured and coherent argument throughout; Presentation: highly competent, Work excellently presented and communicated with attention to detail. Referencing: work accurate, reliable, precise and appropriately referenced, indicative of thoughtful background reading where appropriate.
A- first class honours	70	Work shows characteristics of first class achievement but is more secure in some aspects than others meaning that the final mark reflects both excellent and very good standards of performance overall.
B+; upper second	68	A <u>very good</u> standard of performance and achievement at a level appropriate to foundation level:
class honours		Knowledge & understanding: Demonstrates very good knowledge and comprehension of relevant theories, fundamental concepts and principles; showing understanding and the ability to make some lines of judgement appropriate to these foundation principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: a very good level of ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including a clearly demonstrated ability to utilise them to examples of limitations of theoretical concepts; Argument, analysis & reflection: a very good ability to accesses and interpretive cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts. Very good ability to apply knowledge and analyse information in order to make reasoned judgements; Communication: very good level of competence with well-structured and coherent argument throughout; Presentation: accurate and appropriate work, precisely presented and communicated; Referencing: work references accurate and reliably referenced, indicative of background reading where appropriate.
B;	64	A good standard of performance and achievement appropriate to foundation level:
upper second class honours		Knowledge & understanding: Demonstrates good knowledge and comprehension of relevant theories, fundamental concepts and principles; showing understanding and the ability to make some lines of judgement appropriate to these basic principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: a good level of ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including a clearly demonstrated ability to utilise them to examples of limitations of theoretical concepts; Argument, analysis & reflection: a good ability to access and interpret cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts. good ability to apply knowledge and analyse information in order to make reasoned judgements; Communication: good level of competence with well-structured and coherent argument throughout; Presentation: work, accurate and appropriately presented and communicated; Referencing References accurate and reliably referenced, few errors and showing a range of background reading
B-; upper second class honours	60	Work shows characteristics of upper second class achievement but is more secure in some aspects than others meaning that the final mark reflects both good and very competent standards of performance overall.
C+; lower second class honours	58	A <u>very competent</u> performance and achievement appropriate to foundation level: Knowledge & understanding: Demonstrates very competent knowledge and
		comprehension of relevant theories; very competent in fundamental concepts and principles;

C; lower second class honours	54	Subject specific/professional practice skills: sound application of key process skills/professional practice skills, including some ability to apply them to examples of limitations of theoretical concepts; Argument, analysis & reflection: very competent in ability to access and interpret appropriate information in a confident manner, using higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts. Shows very competent application of knowledge and analyses information in order to make reasoned judgements Communication: very competent communication with some limited evidence of an argument apparent. Presentation: Work is accurate and appropriate in the main, clearly presented and communicated. Referencing: References generally accurate with minor deficiencies, but may have limitations in some aspects and largely indicative of lower level background reading. A competent performance and achievement appropriate to foundation level: Knowledge & understanding: Demonstrates very competent knowledge and comprehension of relevant theories, competent in the majority of fundamental concepts and principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: sound application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, ability to apply them to examples of limitations of theoretical concepts; Argument, analysis & reflection: in the main, a competent ability to access and interpret appropriate information in a confident manner, using cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts; evidence of description rather than analysis emerging as a key feature. Shows competent application of knowledge and analyse information in order to make reasoned judgements; Communication: competent communication with some limited evidence of an argument apparent. Presentation: accurate, appropriate, clearly presented and communicated in the main Referencing: References generally accurate with some deficiencies, but may have limitat
		reading.
C-; lower second class honours	50	Work shows characteristics of lower second class achievement but is more secure in some aspects than others meaning that the final mark reflects both competent and adequate standards of performance overall.
D; third class honours	48	An <u>adequate</u> level of achievement overall. The work of the candidate has clearly exceeded the threshold standard for foundation level:
		Knowledge & understanding Demonstrates satisfactory knowledge and comprehension of relevant theories, adequate in the majority of fundamental concepts and principles but with some flaws apparent; Subject specific/professional practice skills: application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, but with evidence of flaws and errors in some aspects. Argument, analysis & reflection: some foundation ability to access and interpret appropriate information using cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a limited range of contexts; evidence of description rather than analysis emerging as a key feature. Shows a satisfactory ability to apply knowledge and analyse information in order to make reasoned judgements; Communication: satisfactory communication but with little evidence of an argument apparent.
		Presentation: satisfactorily presented and communicated, with some deficiencies in attention to detail. Referencing: some use of referencing, where appropriate, but narrow range of sources and some errors present; limitations and largely indicative of lower level background reading.

E; third class honours	42	A threshold level of achievement overall. The work of the candidate has marginally exceeded the threshold standard for foundation level: Knowledge & understanding Demonstrates adequate knowledge and comprehension of relevant theories. Adequate in the majority of fundamental concepts and principles but with significant flaws and deficiencies apparent; Subject specific/professional practice skills: Sufficient ability to access and interpret appropriate information, identification of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, but with little if any evidence of application. Argument, analysis & reflection: limited ability to use cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a limited range of contexts; evidence is descriptive; Shows a satisfactory ability to apply knowledge and analyse information in order to make reasoned judgements Communication: satisfactory communication but with limited evidence of an argument apparent. Presentation: Satisfactorily presented and communicated but with clear weaknesses; Referencing: Inconsistent and limited referencing, where appropriate, but range of sources generally only just acceptable and errors present; many limitations and indicative of lower level background reading.
F+; Marginal Fail	38	The student has marginally failed to reach the standard required to Pass at foundation level. Knowledge & understanding limited knowledge and comprehension of relevant theories; inadequate in the majority of fundamental concepts and principles, significant flaws apparent; Subject specific/professional practice skills: inadequate and limited ability in application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, with clear weaknesses. Argument, analysis & reflection: minimal evidence of the use of higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving); argument analysis and reflection are largely missing or inaccurate; a limited ability to apply knowledge and analyse information in order to make reasoned judgements Communication: satisfactory communication but with little evidence of an argument apparent. Presentation: inadequately presented and communicated, weak or inaccurate; Referencing: references inaccurate and inconsistent, little or no evidence of appropriate referencing. However, in the judgement of the marker, it would have been feasible for the student, without significantly more work, to have raised the quality to a bare pass, and the work has sufficient strengths to allow the failure to be compensated if the
F; Clear Fail	32	student passed the subject overall. The work is below the standard required to Pass and the failure cannot be compensated at foundation level. The work is clearly unsatisfactory in all key features: Knowledge & understanding; Subject specific/professional practice skills. Argument, analysis & reflection Communication; Presentation Referencing However, the work has sufficient strengths to suggest that, if this standard was maintained throughout the subject, the student would be able to pass on reassessment without the need to retake the subject with attendance.

F-; Comprehensive Fail	22	The work is <u>substantially below</u> the standard required to Pass at foundation level. The work is clearly unsatisfactory in all key features: Knowledge & understanding; Subject specific/professional practice skills. Argument, analysis & reflection Communication; Presentation Referencing Moreover, in the judgement of the markers, the weaknesses of the work suggests that, if this standard was maintained throughout the subject, the student would be unable to pass without retaking the subject with attendance.
WF; Weak Fail	12	unable to pass without retaking the subject with attenuance.
U; unclassified	2	The work presented by the student is <u>rudimentary</u> , inappropriate or dysfunctional at foundation level. The work is clearly unsatisfactory in all key features: Knowledge & understanding; Subject specific/professional practice skills. Argument, analysis & reflection Communication; Presentation Referencing
		Moreover, in the judgement of the markers, the weaknesses of the work suggest that, if this standard was maintained throughout the subject, the student would be unable to pass without retaking the subject with attendance.

Level C (4) Certificate of Higher Education

Grade	Mark	Grade Descriptor
A++;	92	Outstanding performance and achievement overall appropriate to certificate level:
first class honours	88	Knowledge & understanding: outstanding in fundamental concepts and principles; showing understanding and the ability to make lines of judgement appropriate to these foundation principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: an exceptionally high ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including a clearly demonstrated ability to apply them to ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts;
		Argument, analysis & reflection: a clearly-demonstrated ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a diverse range of contexts; Communication: very high level of competence with well-structured and coherent argument throughout; Presentation: outstanding, with meticulous attention to detail.
		Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced, indicative of extensive and insightful, thoughtful background reading where appropriate.
A+,	84	Excellent performance and achievement at a level appropriate to certificate level:
First class honours		Knowledge & understanding: outstanding in fundamental concepts and principles; showing understanding and the ability to make lines of judgement appropriate to these foundation principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: a very high ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including a clearly demonstrated ability to apply them to ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; Argument, analysis & reflection: a clearly-demonstrated ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving)
		in a diverse range of contexts; Communication: high level of competence with well-structured and coherent argument throughout; Presentation: highly competent, with clear attention to detail. Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced, indicative of extensive and insightful, thoughtful background reading where appropriate.
A;	76	Excellent performance and achievement at a level appropriate to certificate level with outstanding features in some elements:
first class honours		Knowledge & understanding: excellent knowledge and comprehension of relevant theories, fundamental concepts and principles with some features that are outstanding; Demonstrating understanding and evidence of reasoned judgements appropriate to these foundation principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: an excellent ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including the ability to apply them to limitations of theoretical concepts. There are some outstanding elements emerging. Argument, analysis & reflection: a clearly-demonstrated ability to use interpretive cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a diverse range of contexts. Excellent ability to apply knowledge and analyse information in order to make reasoned judgements; Communication: high level of competence with well-structured and coherent argument throughout; Presentation: highly competent, Work excellently presented and communicated with attention to detail. Referencing: work accurate, reliable, precise and appropriately referenced, indicative of thoughtful background reading where appropriate.

	1	1
A-; first class	70	Work shows characteristics of first class achievement but is but is more secure in some aspects than others meaning that the final mark reflects both excellent and very good standards of performance overall
honours B+; upper second class honours	68	A very good standards of performance overall. A very good standard of performance and achievement at a level appropriate to certificate level: Knowledge & understanding: very good in fundamental concepts and principles; showing understanding and the ability to make lines of judgement appropriate to these foundation principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: a high level of ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including a clearly demonstrated ability to utilise them to examples of ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; Argument, analysis & reflection: a very good ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts; Communication: very good level of competence with well-structured and coherent argument throughout; Presentation: accurate and appropriate; Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced, indicative of extensive and insightful, thoughtful background reading where appropriate.
B; upper second class honours	64	A good standard of performance and achievement appropriate to certificate level: Knowledge & understanding: good in fundamental concepts and principles; showing understanding and the ability to make lines of judgement appropriate to these foundation principles Subject specific/professional practice skills: good in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including ability to apply them to some examples of ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; Argument, analysis & reflection: a good ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a range of contexts; Communication: good level of competence with a structured and coherent argument throughout; Presentation: accurate and appropriate; Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced, few errors and showing a range of background reading.
B-, upper second class honours	60	Work shows characteristics of upper second class achievement but is more secure in some aspects than others meaning that the final mark reflects both good and very competent standards of performance overall.
C+;	58	A <u>very competent</u> performance and achievement appropriate to certificate level:

lower second class honours		Knowledge & understanding: very competent in fundamental concepts and principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: sound application of key process skills/professional practice skills, including some ability to apply them to examples of ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; Argument, analysis & reflection: a very competent ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts; Communication: very competent communication with some limited evidence of an argument apparent. Presentation: accurate and appropriate in the main; Referencing: work generally accurately and appropriately referenced, but may have limitations in some aspects and largely indicative of lower level background reading.
C;	54	A <u>competent</u> performance and achievement appropriate to <u>certificate</u> level
lower second class honours		Knowledge & understanding: competent in the majority of fundamental concepts and principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: sound application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, but with limited ability to apply them to examples of ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; Argument, analysis & reflection: in the main, a competent ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts; evidence of description rather than analysis emerging as a key feature; Communication: competent communication with some limited evidence of an argument apparent. Presentation: accurate and appropriate in the main; Referencing: work generally accurately and appropriately referenced, but may have limitations in some aspects and largely indicative of lower level background reading.
C-; lower second class honours	50	Work shows characteristics of lower second class achievement but is more secure in some aspects than others meaning that the final mark reflects both competent and adequate standards of performance overall.
D;	48	An <u>adequate</u> level of achievement overall. The work of the candidate has clearly exceeded the threshold standard for certificate level:
third class honours		Knowledge & understanding adequate in the majority of fundamental concepts and principles but with some flaws apparent; Subject specific/professional practice skills: application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, but with but with evidence of flaws and errors in some aspects. Argument, analysis & reflection: some ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a limited range of contexts; evidence of description rather than analysis emerging as a key feature; Communication: satisfactory communication but with little evidence of an argument apparent. Presentation: adequate presentation, with some attention to detail lacking. Referencing: some use of referencing, where appropriate, but narrow range of sources and some errors present; limitations and largely indicative of lower level background reading.
E;	42	A <u>threshold</u> level of achievement overall. The work of the candidate has marginally exceeded the threshold standard for certificate level:
third class honours		Knowledge & understanding adequate in the majority of fundamental concepts and principles but with significant flaws apparent;

		Subject specific/professional practice skills: adequate application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, but with little if any evidence of application. Argument, analysis & reflection: limited ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a limited range of contexts; evidence is descriptive; Communication: satisfactory communication but with limited evidence of an argument apparent. Presentation: adequate presentation, but with clear weaknesses. Referencing: limited referencing, where appropriate, but range of sources generally only just acceptable and errors present; many limitations and indicative of lower level background reading.
F+;	38	The student has marginally failed to reach the standard required to Pass at
		certificate level.
Marginal Fail		
		Knowledge & understanding unsatisfactory in the majority of fundamental
		concepts and principles, significant flaws apparent;
		Subject specific/professional practice skills: inadequate application of
		some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, with clear
		weaknesses.
		Argument, analysis & reflection: minimal evidence of the use of higher
		cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving);
		argument analysis and reflection are largely missing or inaccurate;
		Communication: satisfactory communication but with little evidence of an
		argument apparent.
		Presentation: presentation may be weak or inaccurate;
		Referencing: little or no evidence of appropriate referencing.
		However, in the judgement of the marker, it would have been feasible for the
		student, without significantly more work, to have raised the quality to a bare
		pass, and the work has sufficient strengths to allow the failure to be
		compensated if the student passed the subject overall.
F;	32	The work is below the standard required to Pass and the failure cannot be
		compensated at certificate level. The work is clearly unsatisfactory in all
Clear Fail		key features:
		Knowledge & understanding;
		Subject specific/professional practice skills.
		Argument, analysis & reflection
		Communication;
		Presentation
		Referencing
		However, the work has sufficient strengths to suggest that, if this standard
		was maintained throughout the subject, the student would be able to pass on
		reassessment without the need to retake the subject with attendance.
F-;	22	The work is substantially below the standard required to Pass at
Comprehensive		certificate level. The work is clearly unsatisfactory in all key features:
Fail		Knowledge & understanding;
		Subject specific/professional practice skills.
		Argument, analysis & reflection
		Communication;
		Presentation
		Referencing
		Moreover in the judgement of the markers, the week needs of the work
		Moreover, in the judgement of the markers, the weaknesses of the work suggest that, if this standard was maintained throughout the subject, the
		student would be unable to pass without retaking the subject with
WF;	12	attendance.
Weak Fail		attoriuanioc.

U;	2	The work presented by the student is <u>rudimentary</u> , inappropriate or dysfunctional at certificate level. The work is clearly unsatisfactory in all key
unclassified		features: Knowledge & understanding; Subject specific/professional practice skills. Argument, analysis & reflection Communication; Presentation Referencing
		Moreover, in the judgement of the markers, the weaknesses of the work suggest that, if this standard was maintained throughout the subject, the student would be unable to pass without retaking the subject with attendance.

Level I (5) Diploma of Higher Education (or Foundation Degree if appropriate)

Mark Awarded	Mark	Grade Descriptor
A++;	92	Outstanding performance and achievement overall appropriate to intermediate level:
first class honours	88	Knowledge & understanding: outstanding in well-established concepts and principles; showing understanding and evidence of critical thought; Subject specific/professional practice skills: an exceptionally high ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including a clearly demonstrated ability to apply them to ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; application to areas outside that which is taught is evident. Argument, analysis & reflection: a clearly-demonstrated ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a diverse range of contexts; outstanding level of analysis, highly persuasive, robust argument involving synthesis of concepts, views and ideas. Communication: very high level of competence with well-structured argument throughout; exceptional clarity and coherence. Presentation: outstanding, with flair and meticulous attention to detail. Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced, indicative of extensive, thoughtful and insight, relevant background reading from core and wider sources.
A+; First class honours	84	Excellent performance and achievement at a level appropriate to Intermediate level with outstanding features in some elements: Knowledge & understanding: excellent knowledge and comprehension of relevant theories, fundamental concepts and principles with some features that are outstanding; Demonstrating understanding and evidence of reasoned judgements appropriate to these foundation principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: an excellent ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including the ability to apply them to limitations of theoretical concepts. There are some outstanding elements emerging. Argument, analysis & reflection: a clearly-demonstrated ability to use interpretive cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a diverse range of contexts. Excellent ability to apply knowledge and analyse information in order to make reasoned judgements; Communication: high level of competence with well-structured and coherent argument throughout; Presentation: highly competent, Work excellently presented and communicated with attention to detail. Referencing: work accurate, reliable, precise and appropriately referenced, indicative of thoughtful background reading where appropriate.

A;	76	Excellent performance and achievement appropriate to intermediate level:
first class honours		Knowledge & understanding: in well-established concepts and principles; showing understanding and evidence of critical thought; Subject specific/professional practice skills: a very high ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including a clearly demonstrated ability to apply them to a range of ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; application to areas outside that which is taught is evident. Argument, analysis & reflection: a clearly-demonstrated ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a diverse range of contexts; sophisticated perception with some critical insight and interpretation. Communication: high level of competence with well-structured argument throughout; exceptional clarity and coherence of expression. Presentation: outstanding, with clarity and excellent attention to detail. Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced, indicative of wideranging, thoughtful and insightful, relevant background reading from core and wider sources.
A-; First Class Honours	70	Work shows characteristics of first class achievement but is but is more secure in some aspects than others meaning that the final mark reflects both excellent and very good standards of performance overall.
B+; upper second class honours	68	A <u>very good</u> standard of performance and achievement appropriate to intermediate level: Knowledge & understanding: very good in well-established concepts and
		principles; showing understanding and evidence of critical thought; Subject specific/professional practice skills: a high level of ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skill, including a clearly demonstrated ability to apply them to some examples of ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; some application to areas outside that which is taught is evident. Argument, analysis & reflection: a very good ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts; perceptive and thoughtful interpretation. Communication: very good level of competence with coherent argument throughout; Presentation: very clear and appropriate with attention to detail evident; Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced, indicative of extensive background reading in a range of wider sources.
B; upper second	64	A good standard of performance and achievement appropriate to intermediate level:
class honours		Knowledge & understanding: good in in well-established concepts and principles; showing understanding and evidence of critical thought; Subject specific/professional practice skills: good in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including ability to apply them to some examples of ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; limited application to areas outside that which is taught is evident. Argument, analysis & reflection: a good ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a range of contexts; Communication: good level of competence with structured argument throughout; Presentation: clear, accurate and appropriate; Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced from a range of materials including core and some wider reading, a few errors in using the referencing system.
B-; upper second class honours	60	Work shows characteristics of upper second class achievement but is more secure in some aspects than others meaning that the final mark reflects both good and very competent standards of performance overall.
C+;	58	A <u>very competent</u> performance and achievement at a level appropriate to intermediate level:

r 		
lower second class honours		Knowledge & understanding: very competent in well-established concepts and principles; showing understanding and some evidence of critical thought Subject specific/professional practice skills: sound application of key process skills/professional practice skills, including some ability to apply them to examples of ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; Argument, analysis & reflection: a very competent ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts; Communication: very competent communication with evidence of an argument apparent; Presentation: accurate and appropriate; Referencing: work generally accurately and appropriately referenced from sources that are mainly from directed core reading, largely indicative of lower level background reading.
C;	54	A <u>competent</u> performance and achievement appropriate to intermediate level:
lower second class honours		Knowledge & understanding: competent in the majority of well-established concepts and principles; showing understanding and some evidence of critical thought; Subject specific/professional practice skills: sound application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, but with limited ability to apply to examples of ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; Argument, analysis & reflection: in the main, a competent ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts; evidence of description rather than analysis emerging as a key feature; Communication: competent communication with some evidence of an argument apparent; Presentation: accurate and appropriate; Referencing: work generally accurately and appropriately referenced, but may have limitations in some aspects and largely indicative of lower level background reading.
C-; lower second class honours	50	Work shows characteristics of lower second class achievement but is more secure in some aspects than others meaning that the final mark reflects both competent and adequate standards of performance overall.
D; third class	48	An <u>adequate</u> level of achievement overall. The work of the candidate has clearly exceeded the threshold standard for intermediate level:
honours		Knowledge & understanding adequate in the majority of well-established concepts and principles but with some flaws apparent; showing understanding and some limited evidence of critical thought; Subject specific/professional practice skills: adequate application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, but with evidence of flaws and errors in some aspects; Argument, analysis & reflection: some ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a limited range of contexts; evidence of description rather than analysis emerging as a key feature; Communication: satisfactory communication but evidence of a structured argument is problematic and may be disjointed and/or weak. Presentation: adequate presentation, with some attention to detail lacking. Referencing: some use of referencing, where appropriate, but limited consistency in style and largely indicative of lower level background reading.
E; third class	42	A <u>threshold</u> level of achievement overall. The work of the candidate has marginally exceeded the threshold standard for intermediate level:
honours		Knowledge & understanding adequate in the majority of well-established concepts and principles but with some flaws apparent; evidence of criticality is limited throughout;

		Subject specific/professional practice skills: limited application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills in a limited range of contexts; flaws and errors are significant Argument, analysis & reflection: limited ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a limited range of contexts; evidence is descriptive; Communication: satisfactory communication but with limited evidence of an argument apparent. Presentation: adequate presentation. Referencing: limited referencing, where appropriate, but range of sources generally only just acceptable and errors present; limitations and largely indicative of lower level background reading.
F+;	38	The student has marginally failed to reach the standard required to Pass at
,		intermediate level.
Marginal Fail		
		Knowledge & understanding unsatisfactory in the majority of well-established
		concepts and principles, flaws apparent; criticality is absent; Subject specific/professional practice skills : inadequate application of some of
		the key process skills/professional practice skills, with clear weaknesses.
		Argument, analysis & reflection: minimal evidence of the use of higher cognitive
		skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving); argument, analysis and
		reflection are limited or inaccurate;
		Communicating Accurately and reliably arguments : satisfactory communication but with limited evidence of an argument apparent.
		Presentation: presentation may be inadequate;
		Referencing: some evidence of referencing but with errors in style, consistency and
		adhering mainly to lower level background material.
		However, in the judgement of the marker, it would have been feasible for the student,
		without a lot more work, to have raised the quality to a bare pass, and the work has
		sufficient strengths to allow the failure to be compensated if the student passed the
F.	32	subject overall.
F;	32	The work is below the standard required to Pass and the failure cannot be compensated at intermediate level. The work is clearly unsatisfactory in all key
Clear Fail		features:
		Knowledge & understanding;
		Subject specific/professional practice skills.
		Argument, analysis & reflection Communicating Accurately and reliably arguments;
		Presentation
		Referencing
		However, the work has sufficient strengths to suggest that, if this standard was
		maintained throughout the subject, the student would be able to pass on
		reassessment without the need to retake the subject with attendance.
F-;	22	The work is <u>substantially below</u> the standard required to Pass at intermediate
Comprehensive		level. The work is clearly unsatisfactory in all key features:
Fail		Knowledge & understanding; Subject specific/professional practice skills.
		Argument, analysis & reflection
		Communicating Accurately and reliably arguments;
		Presentation
		Referencing
		Moreover, in the judgement of the markers, the weaknesses of the work suggest
		that, if this standard was maintained throughout the subject, the student would be
WF;	12	unable to pass without retaking the subject with attendance.
\\\ - = \c = = \tag{-11}		
Weak Fail		
	1	I .

U;	2	The work presented by the student is <u>rudimentary</u> , inappropriate or dysfunctional at intermediate level. The work is clearly unsatisfactory in all key features:
unclassified		Knowledge & understanding; Subject specific/professional practice skills. Argument, analysis & reflection Communicating Accurately and reliably arguments; Presentation Referencing
		Moreover, in the judgement of the markers, the weaknesses of the work suggest that, if this standard was maintained throughout the subject, the student would be unable to pass without retaking the subject with attendance.

Level H (6) Bachelor's Degree with honours

Mark Awarded	Mark	Grade Descriptor
A++;	92	Outstanding performance and achievement overall appropriate to honours level:
first class honours	88	Knowledge & understanding: exceptional in disciplinary concepts and principles with specialism in particular aspects of the discipline; some evidence of originality of thought informed by the forefront of the discipline; work may be publishable in the future; develops new perspectives beyond standard arguments; Subject specific/professional practice skills: an exceptionally high ability to deploy accurately established techniques of analysis and enquiry within the discipline including critical application to ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; application to a range of areas outside that which is taught is evident. Argument, analysis & reflection: an exceptional ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a diverse range of contexts; outstanding level of critical analysis, highly persuasive, robust argument involving novel synthesis of concepts, views and ideas. Communication: exceptional level of competence with a high level of argument throughout; exceptional clarity and coherence. Presentation: exceptional, with flair and meticulous attention to detail. Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced, indicative of thoughtful and insightful high level background reading from a very extensive set of sources, both scholarly reviews and primary sources.
A+;	84	Excellent performance and achievement at a level appropriate to Honours level with outstanding features in some elements:
first class		With outstanding router of in como dismonto.
honours		Knowledge & understanding: excellent knowledge and comprehension of relevant theories, fundamental concepts and principles with some features that are outstanding; Demonstrating understanding and evidence of reasoned judgements appropriate to these foundation principles; Subject specific/professional practice skills: an excellent ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including the ability to apply them to limitations of theoretical concepts. There are some outstanding elements emerging. Argument, analysis & reflection: a clearly-demonstrated ability to use interpretive cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a diverse range of contexts. Excellent ability to apply knowledge and analyse information in order to make reasoned judgements; Communication: high level of competence with well-structured and coherent argument throughout; Presentation: highly competent, Work excellently presented and communicated with attention to detail. Referencing: work accurate, reliable, precise and appropriately referenced, indicative of thoughtful background reading where appropriate.

A;	Excellent performance and achievement appropriate to honours level:	
first class honours	Knowledge & understanding: excellent in disciplinary concepts and principles with specialism in particular aspects of the discipline; evidence of originality of thought informed by the forefront of the discipline; work may be publishable in the future; develops some new perspectives beyond standard arguments. Subject specific/professional practice skills: excellence in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including critical application to ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; application to areas outside that which is taught is evident. Argument, analysis & reflection: excellence in using higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a diverse range of context evidence of critical analysis, highly persuasive, robust argument involving novel synthesis of concepts, views and ideas. Communication: excellent level of competence with an argument maintained throughout; excellent clarity and coherence. Presentation: excellent, with flair and meticulous attention to detail. Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced, indicative of extension thoughtful and insightful high level background reading, both scholarly reviews and primary sources.	t ts;
A-; first class honours	Work shows characteristics of first class achievement but is but is more secure in some aspects than others meaning that the final mark reflects both excellent and very good standards of performance overall.	
B+;	A <u>very good</u> standard of performance and achievement appropriate to honours level:	
upper second class honours	Knowledge & understanding: very good in disciplinary concepts and principles with evidence of being informed by work at the forefront of the discipline; specialis in particular aspects of the discipline; Subject specific/professional practice skills: a high ability in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including a clearly demonstrated ability in apply them critically to some examples of ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; some application to areas outside that which is taught is evident. Argument, analysis & reflection: a very good ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts; perceptive and thoughtful interpretation; argument is reflective of critical analysis although this may not be maintained throughout. Communication: very good level of competence with coherent argument throughout; Presentation: very clear and appropriate with attention to detail evident; Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced, indicative of extensions background reading in a range of wider sources, including some scholarly reviews and primary sources.	to I s
В;	A good standard of performance and achievement at a level appropriate to honours level:	
upper second class honours	 Knowledge & understanding: good in disciplinary concepts and principles; systematic but may be lacking in criticality; some evidence of being informed by work at the forefront of the discipline with specialism in particular aspects of the discipline; Subject specific/professional practice skills: good in applying key process skills/professional practice skills, including ability to apply them to ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; some application to areas outside that which is taught is evident. Argument, analysis & reflection: a good ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a range of contexts; argument is reflective of some critical analysis although this may not be maintaine throughout. Communication: good level of competence with structured argument throughout; Presentation: clear, accurate and appropriate; 	ed

		Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced from a range of materials including core and some wider reading, including some scholarly reviews and primary sources.
B-; upper second class honours	60	Work shows characteristics of upper second class achievement but is more secure in some aspects than others meaning that the final mark reflects both good and very competent standards of performance overall.
C+;	58	A <u>very competent</u> performance and achievement at a level appropriate to honours level:
class honours		Knowledge & understanding: very competent in disciplinary concepts and principles with specialism in particular aspects of the discipline; Subject specific/professional practice skills: sound application of key process skills/professional practice skills, including ability to apply them to examples of ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; material is largely focussed on that which was taught; Argument, analysis & reflection: a very competent ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts; argument shows some elements of critical analysis, but there is over-emphasis on description; Communication: very competent communication with evidence of an argument apparent, although this may not be strongly maintained throughout. Presentation: accurate and appropriate; Referencing: work accurately and appropriately referenced from sources that are mainly from directed core reading, over-dependence on lower level background reading.
C;	54	A <u>competent</u> performance and achievement at a level appropriate to honours level:
lower second class honours	50	Knowledge & understanding: competent in the majority of disciplinary concepts and principles with specialism in particular aspects of the discipline; Subject specific/professional practice skills: sound application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, , including ability to apply them to examples of ambiguities and limitations of theoretical concepts; material is largely focussed on that which was taught Argument, analysis & reflection: a competent ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a number of contexts; evidence of description rather than critical analysis emerging as a key feature; Communication: competent communication with evidence of an argument apparent although this may not be maintained throughout. Presentation: accurate and appropriate; Referencing: work generally accurately and appropriately referenced, but may have limitations in some aspects and largely indicative of lower level background reading.
C-; lower second class honours	50	Work shows characteristics of lower second class achievement but is more secure in some aspects than others meaning that the final mark reflects both competent and adequate standards of performance overall.
D; third class	48	An <u>adequate</u> level of achievement overall. The work of the candidate has clearly exceeded the threshold standard for honours level:
honours		Knowledge & understanding adequate in the majority of disciplinary concepts and principles but with some flaws apparent; specialism in particular aspects of the discipline; Subject specific/professional practice skills: adequate application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, but with some evidence of flaws and errors in key aspects. material is largely focussed on that which was taught and there are some errors apparent; Argument, analysis & reflection: some ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a limited range of contexts; evidence of description rather than critical analysis emerging as a key feature;

		Communication: satisfactory communication but evidence of a structured argument is disjointed and weak and, at times, may contain flaws. Presentation: adequate presentation, lacking some attention to detail. Referencing: some use of referencing, where appropriate, but consistency in style is lost in places and is indicative of lower level background reading.
E;	42	A <u>threshold</u> level of achievement overall. The work of the candidate has marginally
third class		exceeded the threshold standard for honours level:
honours		Knowledge & understanding adequate in the majority of disciplinary concepts and principles but there are multiple flaws apparent; specialism in particular aspects of the discipline;
		Subject specific/professional practice skills: limited application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills in a very limited range of contexts; evidence is descriptive; material is largely focussed on that which is taught with significant omissions;
		Argument, analysis & reflection: limited ability to use higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) in a limited range of contexts; evidence is descriptive;
		Communication : satisfactory communication but with limited evidence of an argument apparent.
		Presentation : adequate presentation. Referencing : limited referencing, where appropriate, but range of sources generally only just acceptable and errors present; limitations and largely indicative of lower level background reading.
F+;	38	The student has <u>marginally failed</u> to reach the standard required to Pass at
Marginal Fail		honours level.
Warginai i ali		Knowledge & understanding unsatisfactory in key aspects of disciplinary concepts
		and principles, significant flaws apparent; Subject specific/professional practice skills : inadequate application of some of the key process skills/professional practice skills, with clear weaknesses. Despite adhering to examples developed in taught classes, there are misunderstandings
		and errors; Argument, analysis & reflection: some evidence of the use of higher cognitive skills (analysis, synthesis, evaluation and problem solving) but argument analysis and reflection are limited to description and show some inaccuracies; Communication: satisfactory communication but the argument lacks coherence.
		Communication : satisfactory communication but the argument lacks coherence. Presentation : presentation may be inadequate;
		Referencing: some evidence of referencing but with errors in style, consistency and adhering mainly to lower level background material.
		However, in the judgement of the marker, it would have been feasible for the student, without a lot more work, to have raised the quality to a bare pass, and the work has sufficient strengths to allow the failure to be compensated if the student passed the subject overall.
F;	32	The work is below the standard required to Pass and the failure cannot be
Clear Fail		compensated at honours level. The work is clearly unsatisfactory in key features including: Knowledge & understanding; Subject specific/professional practice skills.
		Argument, analysis & reflection Communication; Presentation Referencing
		However, the work has sufficient strengths to suggest that, if this standard was maintained throughout the subject, the student would be able to pass on reassessment without the need to retake the subject with attendance.

F-; Comprehensive Fail	22	The work is <u>substantially below</u> the standard required to Pass at honours level. The work is clearly unsatisfactory in all key features: Knowledge & understanding; Subject specific/professional practice skills. Argument, analysis & reflection Communication; Presentation
		Referencing
		Moreover, in the judgement of the markers, the weaknesses of the work suggest that, if this standard was maintained throughout the subject, the student would be
WF;	12	unable to pass without retaking the subject with attendance.
Weak Fail		
U; unclassified	2	The work presented by the student is <u>rudimentary</u> , inappropriate or dysfunctional at honours level. The work is clearly unsatisfactory in all key features: Knowledge & understanding; Subject specific/professional practice skills. Argument, analysis & reflection Communication; Presentation Referencing
		Moreover, in the judgement of the markers, the weaknesses of the work suggest that, if this standard was maintained throughout the subject, the student would be unable to pass without retaking the subject with attendance.

3 Postgraduate Courses including Level M of Integrated Masters Awards

The following requirement applies to all Postgraduate Courses

Where subject specific assessment criteria do not cover technical proficiency in English the following guidance will be applied:

The University expects students to submit assessments which are of a high-quality including in relation to clarity of expression. Students are advised to proof read their assessments before submission in order to identify and eliminate errors. Where the academic quality and precision remains, students will not be unnecessarily penalised for insignificant errors in syntax, spelling, grammar or presentation.

Feedback given to students will include appropriate signposting to support with academic writing where necessary. This guidance does not affect any alternative learning needs assessed through learning support plans.

Mark Awarded	Mark	Grade Descriptor
A++;	92	An exceptional standard of performance and achievement overall:
		Authoritative handling of complex material, demonstrating highly developed
		knowledge;
		 understanding and application of theoretical issues and concepts;
		 convincing and well-focused analysis/argument, developed with depth and
		precision of thought and evidence;
	88	well-structured and lucid presentation;
	00	 well-developed insight and capacity for individual thought;
		imagination in approach and application;
		evidence of extensive and in-depth reading;
		a high degree of skill in handling quotations, references, footnotes,
		bibliographical material;
		where appropriate: authoritative handling of data (including appropriate and trial to chair use):
Pass with		analytical techniques);
Distinction		 where appropriate: demonstration of a full appreciation of research design and the ability to give a comprehensive critique of the methodology used.
		Significantly, the work may be close to publishable or of a commercial standard and extends beyond the expectations of a student at Masters level and Liverpool Hope's Research Degrees SubCommittee will interpret marks as implying that the student has demonstrated potential to succeed in doctoral study.
A+;	84	An excellent standard of performance and achievement overall; at the higher end of
		the band elements of the work may have outstanding features:
		Authoritative handling of complex material, demonstrating highly developed
		knowledge;
		 understanding and application of theoretical issues and concepts;
Α,	76	 convincing and well-focused analysis/argument, developed with depth and
		precision of thought and evidence;
		well-structured and lucid presentation;
		 well-developed insight and capacity for individual thought;
		imagination in approach and application;
		 evidence of extensive and in-depth reading;
A-	70	a high degree of skill in handling quotations, references, footnotes,
		bibliographical material;
		where appropriate: authoritative handling of data (including appropriate
		analytical techniques);
		 where appropriate: demonstration of a full appreciation of research design and the ability to give a comprehensive critique of the methodology used.
Pass with		Significantly, the work extends beyond the expectations of a student at Masters
Distinction		level in one or more of these aspects and Liverpool Hope's Research Degrees
Diomionon		SubCommittee will interpret marks as implying that the student has
		demonstrated potential to succeed in doctoral study.
_		
B+	68	A <u>very good</u> standard of performance and achievement overall:
		Skilled handling of material, demonstrating a sound knowledge, understanding
		and application of theoretical issues and concepts;
		the ability to structure material and formulate an argument logically, along with and effective and meture written style:
_		and effective and mature written style;
Pass with Merit		coherent and soundly structured presentation; evidence of wide and in depth reading:
		 evidence of wide and in-depth reading; skill in handling quotations, references, footnotes, bibliographical material;
		 skill in handling quotations, references, footnotes, bibliographical material, where appropriate: skilled handling of data, demonstrating sound use of
		statistics;
		 where appropriate: ability to give detailed criticisms of the methods used and to
		appreciate research design.
		Significantly the work approaches, but does NOT quite meet the requirements for distinction; and Liverpool Hope's Research Degrees SubCommittee will interpret

		marks as implying that the student has NOT demonstrated potential to succeed in doctoral study.
В,	64	A <u>very good</u> standard of performance and achievement overall:
B- Pass with Merit	60	 coherent and soundly structured presentation; evidence of wide and in-depth reading; skill in handling quotations, references, footnotes, bibliographical material; where appropriate: skilled handling of data, demonstrating sound use of statistics; where appropriate: ability to give detailed criticisms of the methods used and to appreciate research design.
C+ Pass	58	 A very competent standard of performance and achievement overall: Satisfactory handling of material, indicating a general knowledge, understanding and application of the main theoretical issues and concepts; the ability to formulate an argument logically, along with a competent written style; a reasonably lucid and adequately structured presentation; evidence of wide reading; ability to use quotations, references, footnotes, bibliographical material; where appropriate: satisfactory handling of data demonstrating awareness of analytical techniques; where appropriate: satisfactory critique of methodology, some appreciation of research design. The work is a sound pass, with no significant weaknesses; however, there is an over-reliance on secondary sources and therefore the level of critical analysis is limited.
C, C- Pass	50	A competent standard of performance and achievement overall but with significance weaknesses: • Satisfactory handling of material, indicating a general knowledge, understanding and application of the main theoretical issues and concepts; • the ability to formulate an argument logically, along with a competent written style; • a reasonably lucid and adequately structured presentation; • evidence of wide reading; • ability to use quotations, references, footnotes, bibliographical material; • where appropriate: satisfactory handling of data demonstrating awareness of analytical techniques; • where appropriate: satisfactory critique of methodology, some appreciation of research design.
F+ Marginal Fail	48	The student has marginally failed to reach the standard required to Pass. • However, in the judgement of the marker, it would have been feasible for the student, without a lot more work, to have raised the quality to a bare pass, and the work has sufficient strengths to allow the failure to be compensated if the student passed the module overall [where appropriate].
F	42	In general, the student has not reached the standard required to Pass at Level M[7], as evidenced by at least some of the characteristics listed below:
Clear Fail	38	Typical characteristics: • Insufficient knowledge, understanding and application of course material;

F – Comprehensive	22	 failure to meet the objectives of the assignment; a lack of balance and adequately developed arguments; evidence that the student has little understanding of how to structure arguments, present evidence and use concepts; insufficient critical analysis; insufficient appropriate use of sources and data; poor literacy skills &/or inadequate referencing skills.
WF Weak Fail U Unclassified	2	In general, the student has not reached the standard required to Pass at Level M[7], as evidenced by some or all of the characteristics listed below, the work has substantial weakness and little of merit. Typical characteristics: Insufficient knowledge, understanding and application of course material; failure to meet the objectives of the assignment; a lack of balance and adequately developed arguments; evidence that the student has little understanding of how to structure arguments, present evidence and use concepts; insufficient critical analysis; insufficient appropriate use of sources and data; poor literacy skills &/or inadequate referencing skills. evidence that the student has little understanding of how to structure arguments, present evidence and use concepts; insufficient critical analysis.